Lance V. Oliver (admitted *pro hac vice*)
William S. Norton (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joshua C. Littlejohn (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Christopher F. Moriarty (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew P. Arnold (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Gregg S. Levin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Cameran M. Gilliam (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 216-9000
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450
loliver@motleyrice.com
bnorton@motleyrice.com
jlittlejohn@motleyrice.com
cmoriarty@motleyrice.com
aarnold@motleyrice.com
glevin@motleyrice.com
cgilliam@motleyrice.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., and UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH, and the Settlement Class

Salvatore J. Graziano (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Hannah Ross (admitted *pro hac vice*)
James A. Harrod (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Jorge Tenreiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah K. Schmidt (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 554-1400
Facsimile: (212) 554-1444
salvatore@blbglaw.com
hannah@blbglaw.com
jim.harrod@blbglaw.com
jorge.tenreiro@blbglaw.com
sarah.schmidt@blbglaw.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi and Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System, and the Settlement Class

[Additional counsel appear on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation

Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL

CLASS ACTION

REPLY MEMORANDUM IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF (I) LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION, AND (II) LEAD COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

Hearing Date: November 17, 2026
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 4 (17th Floor)
Judge: Hon. Rita F. Lin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION 1

I. THE REACTION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS SUPPORTS APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AND THE MOTION FOR FEES AND EXPENSES 2

A. The Court-Approved Robust Notice Program 2

B. The Reaction of the Settlement Class Supports Approval of the Settlement and Plan of Allocation 4

C. The Reaction of the Settlement Class Supports Approval of the Fee and Expense Request 6

II. ALL OF THE OBJECTIONS TO THE SETTLEMENT LACK MERIT 6

A. The Beckwith, Shaw, Songer, and Hauser Objections to the Fact that the Action Was Even Brought Lack Merit 6

B. The Abdou Capital Holdings and Schoenhardt Objections to the Adequacy of the Settlement Amount Lack Merit 8

C. The Russo and Bernstein Objections to the Claim Filing Documentation Requirements Lack Merit 9

D. The Casper Objection—That Holders of Seagate Common Stock Purchased Prior to the Class Period Should Be Eligible for Payment—Lacks Merit 10

E. The Bacharach Objection Should Be Rejected 11

F. The Casper, Shaw, Russo, and Bacharach Objections Should Be Rejected Because They Are Not Settlement Class Members 13

III. THE VAGUE AND GENERALIZED OBJECTIONS TO THE ATTORNEYS' FEES LACK MERIT 13

IV. REPORT ON CLAIMS RECEIVED 15

CONCLUSION 15

APPENDIX A 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Asghari v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am.,
2015 WL 12732462 (C.D. Cal. May 29, 2015) 14

Bartz v. Anthropic PBC,
2026 WL 2087580 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2026) 11

In re Bisys Sec. Litig.,
2007 WL 2049726 (S.D.N.Y. July 16, 2007) 6

Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores,
421 U.S. 723 (1975) 11

In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig.,
2020 WL 1873554 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2020) 11

Churchill Village LLC v. Gen. Elec.,
361 F.3d 566 (9th Cir. 2004) 4

Custom LED, LLC v. eBay, Inc.,
2014 WL 2916871 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2014) 13

In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Sec. Litig.,
2019 WL 3290770 (N.D. Cal. July 22, 2019) 5

In re Facebook, Inc., IPO Sec. & Derivative Litig.,
343 F. Supp. 3d 394 (S.D.N.Y. 2018), *aff'd*, 822 F. App'x 40
(2d Cir. Sept. 23, 2020) 5

In re Fed. Nat'l Mortg. Ass'n Sec., Derivative, & "ERISA" Litig.,
4 F. Supp. 3d 94 (D.D.C. 2013) 10

Hefler v. Wells Fargo & Co.,
2018 WL 6619983 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018) 6, 14

In re Heritage Bond Litig.,
2005 WL 1594403 (C.D. Cal. June 10, 2005) 5

Knapp v. Art.com, Inc.,
283 F. Supp. 3d 823 (N.D. Cal. 2017) 12

Ko v. Natura Pet Prods., Inc.,
2012 WL 3945541 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2012) 7

Lerma v. Schiff Nutrition Int'l, Inc.,
2015 WL 11216701 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2015) 9

In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.,
2018 WL 4620695 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 20, 2018) 14

In re Marsh & McLennan Cos. Sec. Litig.,
2009 WL 5178546 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2009) 10

In re Nat. Football League Players' Concussion Inj. Litig.,
307 F.R.D. 351 (E.D. Pa. 2015), *amended*, 2015 WL 12827803 (E.D. Pa. May 8, 2015) 10

Nat'l Rural Telecomms. Coop. v. DIRECTV, Inc.,
221 F.R.D. 523 (C.D. Cal. 2004) 5

In re Nuvelo, Inc. Sec. Litig.,
2011 WL 2650592 (N.D. Cal. July 6, 2011) 6

Pallas v. Pac. Bell,
1999 WL 1209495 (N.D. Cal. July 13, 1999) 5

Patel v. Axesstel, Inc.,
2015 WL 6458073 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2015) 5

Perkins v. LinkedIn Corp.,
2016 WL 613255 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2016) 7

In re Rite Aid Corp. Sec. Litig.,
396 F.3d 294 (3d Cir. 2005) 6

Rodriguez v. W. Publ'g Corp.,
563 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2009) 4

In re Signet Jewelers Ltd. Sec. Litig.,
2020 WL 4196468 (S.D.N.Y. July 21, 2020) 5

In re Sw. Airlines Voucher Litig.,
2013 WL 4510197 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 26, 2013), *aff'd as modified*,
799 F.3d 701 (7th Cir. 2015) 7, 8

In re Veeco Instruments Inc. Sec. Litig.,
2007 WL 4115809 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2007) 5

In re Wachovia Corp. "Pick-A-Payment" Mortg. Mktg. & Sales Pracs. Litig.,
2011 WL 1877630 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2011) 4, 12

Waldbuesser v. Northrop Grumman Corp.,
2017 WL 9614818 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2017) 6

Williams v. Sinclair,
529 F.2d 1383 (9th Cir. 1975) 11

In re WorldCom, Inc. Sec. Litig.,
2004 WL 2591402 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 12, 2004) 10, 11

Wren v. RGIS Inventory Specialists,
2011 WL 1230826 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2011) 5

In re Yahoo Mail Litig.,
2016 WL 4474612 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2016) 13

STATUTES AND RULES

15 U.S.C. §78j.....11

28 U.S.C. §1715(b) 3

OTHER AUTHORITIES

17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5.....11

Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH, Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, and Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System ("Lead Plaintiffs") and Lead Counsel Motley Rice LLC and Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP ("Lead Counsel") respectfully submit this reply brief in further support of Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and Plan of Allocation (ECF No. 182) ("Settlement Motion"), and Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses (ECF No. 183) ("Fee Motion" and, together, the "Motions").[1]

INTRODUCTION

As detailed in Lead Plaintiffs' opening papers (ECF Nos. 182-184), the proposed $175 million Settlement is an excellent result for the Settlement Class in light of the Settlement amount compared to the range of potential recoverable damages; the serious risks that Lead Plaintiffs faced in proving that Defendants made materially false statements with scienter and in establishing loss causation and damages; and the costs and delays of continued litigation. The Settlement will be distributed fairly to all Settlement Class Members under the proposed Plan of Allocation. Finally, the request for attorneys' fees of 25% of the Settlement Fund, net of Court-awarded Litigation Expenses, is consistent with the Ninth Circuit's benchmark for percentage fees and well within the range of fees awarded in comparable cases. The requested fees are also fair and reasonable in light of the excellent recovery obtained for the Settlement Class, the substantial risks counsel faced, and the efforts of counsel.

Now that the mailing of notice of the Settlement to potential class members has been completed and the deadlines for filing of Claims and for receipt of objections and requests for exclusions have passed, the reaction of the Settlement Class further supports approval of the Settlement and the fee motion. Pursuant to the Court's Preliminary Approval Order (ECF No. 179), the Claims Administrator mailed notice of the Settlement to over 972,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees. A total of ten objections have been received—a very small number compared to the large size of the Settlement Class.[2]

[1] Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 29, 2026 (ECF No. 169-2) (the "Stipulation"), as amended on June 29, 2026 (ECF No. 174-1).

[2] The ten objections are attached as Exhibits B1 to B10 to the Supplemental Declaration of Josephine Bravata, submitted herewith as Exhibit 1 ("Supp. Bravata Decl."), and are referred to as "Obj. 1" to

Importantly, no objections have been received from the many institutional investors in the Settlement Class. Throughout the Class Period, institutional investors required to file Form 13Fs with the SEC (*i.e.*, those with $100 million or more in investments) owned 87% or more of Seagate's common stock. Such investors have the most significant stake in the Settlement, due to the size of their claims, and have the sophistication and resources (access to lawyers, in-house counsel, and experience) to pose objections if they wish to do so.

As discussed below, each of the objections received is without merit. The objectors collectively purchased 4,354 shares of Seagate common stock during the Class Period, which is less than 0.003% of the total shares allegedly harmed by Defendants' conduct. In addition, after the mailing of over 972,000 Notice Packets, just 34 requests for exclusion have been received, of which only sixteen were submitted by persons who reported any purchases of Seagate common stock during the Class Period, and they collectively purchased fewer than 2,800 shares. In contrast, 311,660 Claims have been received, and the valid Claims received include more than 104 million damaged shares and have Recognized Claims under the Plan of Allocation equal to 91% of Lead Plaintiffs' expert's estimate of the total expected claims under the Plan.

I. THE REACTION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS SUPPORTS APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AND THE MOTION FOR FEES AND EXPENSES

A. The Court-Approved Robust Notice Program

Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Claims Administrator, Strategic Claims Services ("SCS"), conducted an extensive notice program under Lead Counsel's supervision, which included mailing the Postcard Notice to over 972,000 potential Settlement Class Members and their nominees, publishing the Summary Notice in *The Wall Street Journal* and over the *Globe Newswire*, and posting the more detailed Notice and Claim Form ("Notice Packet"), as well as other relevant information and documents on a dedicated case website, www.SeagateSecuritiesLitigation.com. *See* Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶¶ 3-4; Declaration of Josephine Bravata (ECF No. 184-6) ("Initial Bravata Decl."), ¶¶ 13-14.

SCS began mailing the Postcard Notice to potential Settlement Class Members on July 28, 2026. *See* Initial Bravata Decl. ¶¶ 5-8. As in most securities class actions, the vast majority of Settlement Class

"Obj. 10" herein.

Members are beneficial purchasers whose securities were held in the name of brokers and other nominees, and whose names and addresses were not directly available to the Parties. *Id*. ¶ 6. To reach such purchasers, the nominee owners were required, within seven days of receipt of SCS's letter, to (a) provide SCS with names and addresses and, if available, email addresses of the beneficial owners (SCS then mailed those persons Postcard Notices and also emailed the Postcard Notices if an email address was available), or (b) request sufficient copies of the Postcard Notice from SCS, and then send those to the beneficial owners. *Id*. ¶ 7. As of November 2, 2026, SCS had mailed or emailed a total of 972,832 Postcard Notices and 2,433 copies of the Notice Packet to potential Settlement Class Members and nominees. *See* Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 4. Of the total number of Postcard Notices mailed, just 10,486, or less than 1.5%, were returned as undeliverable, with no alternative address found. This is well within the undeliverable rate in other cases with comparable notice programs. *Id*. ¶ 5.

The notices informed Settlement Class Members of the terms of the proposed Settlement and Plan of Allocation, and that Lead Counsel would apply for an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund and for Litigation Expenses not to exceed $1.3 million. *See* Postcard Notice at 2; Notice at 1, 16; Summary Notice. The notices also advised Settlement Class Members of their right to object to the proposed Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or the request for attorneys' fees and expenses, or to request exclusion from the Settlement Class, and the October 27, 2026 deadline for doing so. *See* Postcard Notice at 2; Notice at 3, 17-18; Summary Notice.

In addition, on September 18, 2026, more than five weeks before the objection and opt-out deadlines, Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel filed their opening papers in support of the Motions. These papers were immediately available on the public docket (ECF Nos. 182-184) and were made available on the settlement website the next business day. Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 7. Defendants also provided notice of the Settlement to federal and state officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b) ("CAFA"), on June 5, 2026. ECF No. 171-1. No objections were raised by these federal and state officials in response to the CAFA notice.

Following this extensive notice program, ten objections were received—eight via the on-line portal established for such objections and two via the Court's docket (ECF Nos. 185, 186). As discussed below in Parts II and III, these objections lack merit and should be overruled. In addition, 34 requests for

exclusion from the Settlement Class have been received. Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 9. Only sixteen of the persons who requested exclusion reported their purchases of Seagate stock during the Class Period as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and are considered valid opt-outs. The valid opt-outs that reported their purchases had bought a total of only 2,720 Seagate shares during the Class Period. Fifteen of the requests specifically reported in the on-line form that they had zero purchases during the Class Period. If the information these individuals reported is correct, they were not Settlement Class Members and therefore did not need to request exclusion. Finally, three of the requests either did not provide any information or did not provide accurate information on their transactions in Seagate common stock.[3] The Parties request that only the sixteen valid opt-outs be excluded from the Settlement Class. However, prior to the November 17, 2026 Settlement Hearing, Lead Counsel and SCS will attempt to contact the eighteen individuals who either stated they purchased zero shares or did not provide their transaction data to see if any of these individuals would like to supplement their request for exclusion.

B. The Reaction of the Settlement Class Supports Approval of the Settlement and Plan of Allocation

The reaction of the Settlement Class supports approval of the Settlement where, as here, the number of objections (10, representing 4,354 shares) is extremely small in comparison to the size of the Settlement Class (972,832 Postcard Notices mailed, and an estimated 170 million damaged shares). *See Rodriguez v. W. Publ'g Corp.*, 563 F.3d 948, 967 (9th Cir. 2009) (affirming as "a favorable reaction to the settlement" the submission of 54 objections relative to 376,301 notices); *Churchill Village LLC v. Gen. Elec.*, 361 F.3d 566, 577 (9th Cir. 2004) (affirming settlement given 45 objectors relative to 90,000 potential class members); *In re Wachovia Corp. "Pick-A-Payment" Mortg. Mktg. & Sales Pracs. Litig.*, 2011 WL 1877630, at *4 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2011) (finding that "positive response from the class weighs

[3] Two of the requests for exclusion (from Forest A. Benson and Janet V. Benson) did not provide any information on their Seagate transactions. One of the opt-outs, submitted by Daniel H. Adams, reported having held and purchased quantities of Seagate common stock that were obviously incorrect. Mr. Adams claimed in his request for exclusion to have held more than 100 trillion shares at the beginning of the Class Period and purchased 10 trillion shares during the Class Period. (Throughout the Class Period, Seagate had under 260 million shares outstanding.) Lead Counsel followed up with Mr. Adams on this apparent error, and he conceded that the numbers were incorrect. While Mr. Adams did not specifically indicate how many shares he purchased during the Class Period, he noted in his response that he had purchased shares before the Class Period and that he had sold his shares for a gain.

strongly in favor of approving the settlement" where 36 objections were received after mailing 516,000 notices); *Wren v. RGIS Inventory Specialists*, 2011 WL 1230826, at *10-11 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 1, 2011) (16 objections relative to 62,594 notices "strongly supports approval of the settlement"); *Nat'l Rural Telecomms. Coop. v. DIRECTV, Inc.*, 221 F.R.D. 523, 529 (C.D. Cal. 2004) (the "absence of a large number of objections" raises a "strong presumption" that the settlement terms are "favorable to the class members."); *Pallas v. Pac. Bell*, 1999 WL 1209495, at *8 (N.D. Cal. July 13, 1999) (less than 1% of persons raising objections "weigh[s] in favor of approval").

The lack of objections by any large institutional investors that owned the vast majority of Seagate common stock is also significant. As courts have recognized, an absence of objections from such sophisticated institutional investors—who readily possess the resources, financial motivation, and legal staff to object to a settlement they believe to be unfair or unreasonable—particularly supports approval. *See In re Signet Jewelers Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, 2020 WL 4196468, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. July 21, 2020) (finding the fact that "no institutional investors—which held over 93% of the shares of Signet common stock outstanding during the Class Period—ha[d] objected to the Settlement" was "evidence of the fairness of the Settlement," as "[i]nstitutional investors are often sophisticated and possess the incentive and ability to object"); *In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2019 WL 3290770, at *9 (N.D. Cal. July 22, 2019) ("Many potential class members are sophisticated institutional investors; the lack of objections from such institutions indicates that the settlement is fair and reasonable."); *In re Facebook, Inc., IPO Sec. & Derivative Litig.*, 343 F. Supp. 3d 394, 410 (S.D.N.Y. 2018), *aff'd*, 822 F. App'x 40 (2d Cir. Sept. 23, 2020) ("That not one sophisticated institutional investor objected to the Proposed Settlement is indicia of its fairness.").

None of the objections challenge the proposed Plan of Allocation for the Net Settlement Fund. The lack of objections to the Plan further supports its approval. *See, e.g., In re Heritage Bond Litig.*, 2005 WL 1594403, at *11 (C.D. Cal. June 10, 2005) ("The fact that there has been no objection to this plan of allocation favors approval."); *Patel v. Axesstel, Inc.*, 2015 WL 6458073, at *7 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2015) (approving plan of allocation where "no class members objected"); *In re Veeco Instruments Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2007 WL 4115809, at *14 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 7, 2007) ("[N]ot one class member has objected. . . . This favorable reaction of the Class supports approval of the Plan of Allocation.").

C. The Reaction of the Settlement Class Supports Approval of the Fee and Expense Request

Only a small number of the objections received can be interpreted as objections to counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees. The small number of objections to the requested attorneys' fees in relation to the size of the Settlement Class—and the lack of any objections to the requested expenses—supports a finding that those requests are fair and reasonable. *See, e.g.*, *Waldbuesser v. Northrop Grumman Corp.*, 2017 WL 9614818, at *5 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2017) (finding that receipt of two objections to the fee request, after mailing 210,000 notices, was "remarkably small given the wide dissemination of notice," and supported fee award of one-third of settlement fund); *In re Nuvelo, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2011 WL 2650592, at *3 (N.D. Cal. July 6, 2011) (finding one objection to the fee request after mailing of 34,500 notices to be "a strong, positive response from the class, supporting an upward adjustment of the benchmark" fee award).

The lack of ***any*** objections to the attorneys' fees by institutional investors who have a substantial financial stake particularly supports approval of the fee request. *See In re Rite Aid Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 396 F.3d 294, 305 (3d Cir. 2005) (that "a significant number of investors in the class were 'sophisticated' institutional investors that had considerable financial incentive to object had they believed the requested fees were excessive" and did not do so, supported approval of request); *Hefler v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, 2018 WL 6619983, at *15 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018) ("As with the Settlement itself, the lack of objections from institutional investors 'who presumably had the means, the motive, and the sophistication to raise objections' [to the attorneys' fee] weighs in favor of approval."); *In re Bisys Sec. Litig.*, 2007 WL 2049726, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. July 16, 2007) (lack of objections from institutional investors supported approval of fee request because they "had the means, the motive, and the sophistication to raise objections if they thought the . . . fee was excessive").

II. ALL OF THE OBJECTIONS TO THE SETTLEMENT LACK MERIT

A. The Beckwith, Shaw, Songer, and Hauser Objections to the Fact that the Action Was Even Brought Lack Merit

A number of the objections submitted via the on-line portal for objections are brief, lacking in merit, and can be promptly rejected. A summary table of three of the eight objections submitted via the

online portal is set forth below and includes the full text of the "Reasons for Objection" provided by each of these objectors:

No.	Date	Objector(s)	Reason for Objection
1	8/14/2026	Nathanial Beckwith	"Investing carries risk of loss, especially if you're investing short term or day trading. This is a nonsense money grab by parasites and should be rejected. Long term investors bore zero consequences."
3	9/15/2026	Ryan Shaw	"This is a money grab by some uppity lawyers, nothing more."
5	9/21/2026	Dawson Blake Songer Loring Songer	"I believe the attorneys are just trying to make money off of the company."

The objections from Mr. Beckwith, Mr. Shaw, and the Songers, as well as a related objection from Michael A. Hauser (Obj. 9), do not address the fairness or adequacy of the Settlement, but instead argue that the case against Seagate should not have been brought at all, or that the attorneys for the class were solely motivated by potential fees. For example, Mr. Beckwith objects because he believes the lawsuit is a "nonsense money grab by parasites" and that long-term investors were not harmed. Obj. 1. Mr. Shaw states that the Action "is a money grab by some uppity lawyers, nothing more" (Obj. 3), and the Songers' objection states in full that "I believe the attorneys are just trying to make money off of the company" (Obj. 5). Similarly, Mr. Hauser's objection is premised on the notion that this and other similar lawsuits are "legalized extortion by law firms" and are "frivilous and wasteful." Obj. 9.

Each of these four objections is without merit. Objections asserting that the claims should never have been brought—or that take issue with class action lawsuits in general—are not relevant to the assessment of a settlement. *See Perkins v. LinkedIn Corp.*, 2016 WL 613255, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2016) (overruling objections asserting that "this case should never have been brought" because "[t]hese objections do not comment on any aspect of the Settlement but, rather, oppose the claims alleged as being frivolous," "appear to support no recovery for the Class" and reflect interests "adverse to the Class"); *Ko v. Natura Pet Prods., Inc.*, 2012 WL 3945541, at *6 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2012) ("[A]n objection based on a concern for the Defendants and an apparent non-substantive assessment of the frivolity of the action are not germane to the issue of whether the settlement is fair."); *In re Sw. Airlines Voucher Litig.*, 2013 WL 4510197, at *10 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 26, 2013) (rejecting objections that "express[ed] general disapproval of the case and with class action lawsuits in general"), *aff'd as modified*, 799 F.3d 701 (7th Cir. 2015).

B. The Abdou Capital Holdings and Schoenhardt Objections to the Adequacy of the Settlement Amount Lack Merit

Just two of the ten objections address the adequacy of the proposed $175 million Settlement. Abdou Capital Holdings objects to the proposed Settlement "on the grounds that the total settlement amount and the resulting Plan of Allocation do not adequately compensate individual class members for the financial losses suffered due to the alleged omissions regarding export control violations," and that "the estimated recovery amount per share is insufficient to make investors whole." (ECF No. 186) (Obj. 7). Tim and Michelle Schoenhardt argue that "[u]nder the circumstances of this case, Seagate should pay out the entire amount of the loss ($412 million)." Obj. 10. The Schoenhardts further argue that because "insurance is paying a portion of the settlement," the proposed Settlement is "less of a penalty to Seagate." *Id.*

Neither of these objections raises any specific arguments as to why the proposed $175 million Settlement is inadequate in light of the risks of the litigation and range of possible outcomes. As discussed in Lead Plaintiffs' Settlement Motion (ECF No. 182), the $175 million recovery is a very favorable recovery for the Settlement Class. The Settlement represents 42% of the likely damages that could be recovered at trial—a level that is multiples higher than the recovery rate most often seen in similar cases. *Id.* at 10-11. Moreover, as discussed in the Settlement Motion, continued litigation presented many substantial risks that could have led to a lesser recovery or no recovery at all for the Settlement Class. To take just two examples, (i) Defendants might have ultimately prevailed on their argument that their statements that Seagate's sales of hard disk drive technology complied with the relevant U.S. export laws, which were informed by advice from experienced legal counsel, were made in good faith and without an intent to mislead investors; and (ii) Lead Plaintiffs might also have been unable to prove that all of the declines in Seagate's share price at issue resulted from the alleged false statements, or to establish what portion of the declines resulted from the alleged fraud (as opposed to non-fraud factors).

With respect to the Schoenhardts' argument that a portion of the Settlement was paid by Defendants' insurance and thus Seagate has not been sufficiently penalized, Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel believe that their appropriate goal in this ***civil*** litigation was not to seek any specific level of punishment or penalty for Defendants, but to seek the best possible result for Settlement Class Members,

taking into account the risks of the litigation and range of potential outcomes. *See, e.g.*, *Lerma v. Schiff Nutrition Int'l, Inc.*, 2015 WL 11216701, at *6 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2015) ("The Court disagrees with Objector Hammack's unsupported assumption[] that the . . . insurance funding invites Defendants to reoffend. Even if the Settlement provides little or no guard against recidivism, it nevertheless provides adequate relief for the alleged harms, particularly given the risks to Plaintiffs and the putative Class of pursuing the litigation further.").

C. The Russo and Bernstein Objections to the Claim Filing Documentation Requirements Lack Merit

Thomas Russo (Obj. 4) and Stuart Bernstein (Obj. 8) object to the requirement that Claimants provide documentation to substantiate their purchases and holdings of Seagate common stock in order to be eligible for a payment from the Settlement. Mr. Russo states that he does not believe it is reasonable to require an individual to file a claim with documentation dating back to 2020, and argues that Seagate and other investment entities, such as brokers, should instead be required to provide that data. Obj. 4. Similarly, Mr. Bernstein contends that the claim filing requirement appears unnecessary because he believes that Seagate "has all the information that is being requested on the claim form," and does not understand why the settlement cannot be distributed directly to injured investors without requiring claims. Obj. 8.

These objections are based on misapprehensions. Seagate does not have information about individual investors' transactions and holdings in Seagate common stock that would allow the Parties to implement a claims-free distribution process. For the great majority of investors, this information is held by brokers and other nominees who are the record holders for individual investors. Here, as in most other securities actions, the only information that the Claims Administrator received from these brokers and nominees was the names, addresses, and email addresses of beneficial owners of Seagate common stock during the Class Period. (In fact, many brokers provide no information to the Claims Administrator at all and forward the notice directly to their clients.) Due to privacy concerns, brokers do not provide their clients' detailed trading information to the Claims Administrator and, indeed, they probably could not do so unless their clients had specifically consented to the release of that information.

As a result, the Claims Administrator does not have any information about potential class members' transactions in Seagate common stock. Because that information is necessary to process the

claims (otherwise there would be no way to validate or value the claims), it is reasonable to require class members, with the assistance of their brokers or financial advisors, to produce that information. Claimants are not required to provide any more information than is reasonably necessary to verify their membership in the Settlement Class and to calculate the amount of their recovery under the Plan of Allocation. The information that Claimants are required to provide here is customary and routine in securities class action settlements. Providing such information and documentation also serves to avoid the submission of fraudulent or erroneous claims, which dilute the recoveries of Settlement Class Members who have valid claims and comply with the claims process. *See, e.g.*, *In re Nat. Football League Players' Concussion Inj. Litig.*, 307 F.R.D. 351, 414 (E.D. Pa. 2015) (the parties to a class action "are entitled to reasonable procedures and documentation to ensure that large awards go to deserving claimants. In cases with large awards, an overabundance of fraudulent claims, rather than a dearth of valid ones, is the main concern. Submission of fraudulent claims to class settlements is, unfortunately, a documented phenomenon."), *amended*, 2015 WL 12827803 (E.D. Pa. May 8, 2015).

Courts have repeatedly upheld the appropriateness of claim processes in securities class actions that require individual claimants to submit their securities transaction information. *See, e.g.*, *In re Fed. Nat'l Mortg. Ass'n Sec., Derivative, & "ERISA" Litig.*, 4 F. Supp. 3d 94, 106 n.12 (D.D.C. 2013) (overruling objection that "requirements to submit a claim under the Proof of Claim form are too onerous because class members must submit records going back several years in order to prove their membership"); *In re Marsh & McLennan Cos. Sec. Litig.*, 2009 WL 5178546, at *25 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2009) (requiring class members to submit transaction information "comport[ed] with the long-approved procedures for the efficient management of class-action settlement distributions" and noting that "[w]ithout that necessary information, the Claims Administrator could not calculate claimants' distributions"); *In re WorldCom, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2004 WL 2591402, at *12 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 12, 2004) (rejecting objection to the requirement that individual claimants submit transaction information).

D. The Casper Objection—That Holders of Seagate Common Stock Purchased Prior to the Class Period Should Be Eligible for Payment—Lacks Merit

John and Susan Casper, who purchased 1,000 shares of Seagate in July 2020 (before the beginning of the Class Period), and did not acquire any additional shares during the Class Period, have objected to

the Settlement. Obj. 2. The Caspers believe that they "are being disadvantaged" by the Settlement because "Managements actions have harmed all shareholders not just those in the included period." *Id.*

The proposed class in this action has always been limited to investors who ***purchased or acquired*** their shares from September 14, 2020 through April 19, 2023, inclusive (the "Class Period"), the period during which Lead Plaintiffs allege that the price of Seagate common stock was inflated by Defendants' alleged misstatements. Consistent with the limitations on claims under the Exchange Act, claims were asserted only for investors who purchased or acquired stock during that period (not for holding or retention of the shares). *See Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores*, 421 U.S. 723, 730, 749 (1975) (claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 are "limited to actual purchasers and sellers of securities"); *Williams v. Sinclair*, 529 F.2d 1383, 1389 (9th Cir. 1975) (shareholders "who did no more than retain their shares[ ] are . . . barred by the purchaser-seller rule from maintaining an action under Rule 10b-5").

The proposed Settlement Class is the same as the class asserted in Lead Plaintiffs' Complaint. Thus, the Caspers—and any other investors who only held Seagate shares during the Class Period—are not members of the Settlement Class and will not be bound by the Settlement and will not be releasing any claims.[4] Thus, the Settlement here would be no impediment if the Caspers wished to assert any claims that they might have against Defendants based on their pre-Class Period purchases.

Because they are not class members—and are not affected by the proposed Settlement in any way—the Caspers lack standing to object. *See Bartz v. Anthropic PBC*, 2026 WL 2087580, at *4 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2026) ("[N]on-class members lack standing to object to the settlement."); *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig.*, 2020 WL 1873554, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2020) ("[N]onclass members have no standing to object to the settlement of a class action.").

E. The Bacharach Objection Should Be Rejected

Barry Bacharach submitted an objection raising several issues (ECF No. 185, Obj. 6). Shortly after

[4] Indeed, even investors who ***are*** members of the Settlement Class (by virtue of their purchases of Seagate stock during the Class Period), will not be releasing any claims related to Seagate shares they purchased prior to the Class Period and only held during that time. *See* Amendment to the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (ECF No. 174-1) (the claims released are limited to those that "arise out of, are based upon, or relate . . . to the purchase or acquisition of Seagate common stock during the Class Period").

filing his objection, Mr. Bacharach requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, thus rendering his objection invalid because he is no longer a class member. *See Knapp v. Art.com, Inc.*, 283 F. Supp. 3d 823, 834 n.6 (N.D. Cal. 2017) (finding objection "invalid because the objector also opted out"); *Wachovia*, 2011 WL 1877630, at *4 n.3 ("Class members who opt out lack standing to object to a settlement.").

That being said, the objection itself is meritless. First, Mr. Bacharach argued that the "precipitous drop in the value of the Seagate Technology Holdings plc stock during the relevant times periods meant that plaintiffs had to sell the stock at a loss far exceeding the estimated one dollar per share settlement amount." Obj. 6. The estimated recovery of $1.03 per share (less $0.26 per share in fees and costs), *see* Notice at 1, is based on an overall average of estimated recovery across all damaged shares and the actual recovery per share will vary depending on when the Seagate shares were purchased (and sold). That recovery might be greater or smaller based on differing amounts of artificial inflation throughout the Class Period. In any event, given the significant risks of the litigation, it was not realistic that a pre-trial settlement here would recover 100% of class members' losses. As discussed above, Lead Plaintiffs believe that the proposed Settlement, providing 42% of the expected recovery at trial—while eliminating the risks of no recovery or lesser recovery—is a very favorable outcome for Settlement Class Members.

Second, Mr. Bacharach argued that Claim documentation requirements were too onerous because Claimants' financial statements often include information about unrelated securities and the "terms of the settlement do not allow marking up the documentation to redact the information for other securities." Obj. 6. However, there is nothing in the terms of the Settlement that prevents Claimants from redacting information about unrelated securities (as long as the Claims Administrator is still able to identify the name and/or account number of the Claimant on the statement), and in fact it is common for Claimants to submit redacted brokerage documents to support their Claims.[5]

Third, Mr. Bacharach argued that it was unreasonable that prospective opt-outs had to provide the same documentation required for making a claim to opt out of the settlement. But that was not the case. As set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order (ECF No. 179, at ¶16), Notice at 17, and the online Opt-

[5] Lead Counsel reached out to Mr. Bacharach by phone to let him know that redaction was permitted and see if we could resolve any of the other issues he raised, but he did not return the voicemail message that counsel left, and he then subsequently requested exclusion.

Out Form, in order to opt out of the Settlement Class, persons simply needed to state the number of shares they held and traded during the Class Period—no documentation was required. Mr. Bacharach later successfully requested exclusion without submitting documentation.

Lastly, Mr. Bacharach argued that the timeframe for submitting a claim or opting out of the settlement was extremely brief "considering the amount of time needed to collect the required documentation." However, the time period for submission of Claims provided here, roughly 12 weeks after mailing of the Postcard Notice, was in line with typical procedures in comparable securities class actions, and more than 170,000 class members were able to submit valid claims, which, based on SCS's preliminary analysis, appear to account for 91% of the total estimated Recognized Claims under the Plan (compared to the maximum amount predicted by Lead Plaintiffs' expert's trading model).

F. The Casper, Shaw, Russo, and Bacharach Objections Should Be Rejected Because They Are Not Settlement Class Members

As noted above, the objection of the Caspers (Obj. 2) should be rejected because they are not Settlement Class Members (as they admit that they only held and did not purchase Seagate stock during the Class Period), and the objection of Mr. Bacharach (Obj. 6) should be rejected because he requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

The objections of Mr. Shaw (Obj. 3) and Mr. Russo (Obj. 4) should also be similarly rejected because the objection forms that they submitted did not report any purchases of Seagate common stock during the Class Period. Accordingly, they have not established any basis for membership in the Settlement Class and therefore lack standing to assert their objections. *See In re Yahoo Mail Litig.*, 2016 WL 4474612, at *8 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2016) ("[O]nly an 'aggrieved class member' has standing to object to a proposed class settlement. The burden is on the objector to 'prove that he has standing to object.'"); *Custom LED, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 2014 WL 2916871, at *6 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2014) (rejecting objection because objector had "not demonstrated that he is an aggrieved class member").

III. THE VAGUE AND GENERALIZED OBJECTIONS TO THE ATTORNEYS' FEES LACK MERIT

None of the objections submitted expressly argue that the requested fees of 25% of the Settlement Fund are too high or argue for a lower fee award. However, four of the objections express some general

dissatisfaction with the compensation that Plaintiffs' Counsel will receive or argue that counsel was excessively motivated by financial reward in bringing the action, and thus might be read as generalized objections to the requested fees. For example, Mr. Beckwith describes the Action as a "nonsense money grab by parasites" (Obj. 1), Mr. Shaw describes it as "a money grab by some uppity lawyers" (Obj. 3), and the Songers "believe the attorneys are just trying to make money off of the company" (Obj. 5). In his objection, Mr. Hauser states that "[o]nly the lawyers who in this case are asking for as much as $45,000,000 (gasp!) are the winners." Obj. 9.

These vague and generalized objections to attorneys' fees do not articulate any reasons why the requested attorneys' fees are unreasonable and should be rejected as without merit. *See, e.g.*, *Hefler*, 2018 WL 6619983, at *15 (overruling objections which "generally asserted that Plaintiffs' Counsel's fees request was unreasonably high, but . . . provided no specific objections as reasons to reject the request"); *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.*, 2018 WL 4620695, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 20, 2018) (rejecting as "conclusory" an objection that contended that class counsel's fees were too high but did "not cite any record evidence or legal authority"); *Asghari v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am.*, 2015 WL 12732462, at *29-30 (C.D. Cal. May 29, 2015) (rejecting objections that did "not articulate why the requested fees are excessive or unreasonable").

As discussed in the Fee Motion, a fee of 25% of the Settlement Fund is reasonable and appropriate. The fee request has the approval of Lead Plaintiffs, who are sophisticated investors that manage hundreds of billions of dollars (or the equivalent in foreign currency) and who were closely involved in the prosecution and settlement of the Action. *See* ECF No. 183, at 13. The fee requested is consistent with the 25% "benchmark" for percentage attorneys' fee awards in the Ninth Circuit and is well within the range of the fees awarded in other comparable securities actions and other complex class actions. *Id.* at 14-15. The fee is strongly supported by the quality of the result obtained (as reflected in the well-above-average recovery of 42% of Lead Plaintiffs' likely trial damages), and the substantial risks faced in the action. *Id.* at 6-10. Finally, the fee is supported by the substantial efforts of Plaintiffs' Counsel over the last three years of litigation and the resulting lodestar multiplier of 2.95 falls well within the range of multipliers awarded in similar cases. *Id.* at 15-19.

There have been no objections to Lead Counsel's request for payment of Plaintiffs' Counsel's Litigation Expenses or to the requested PSLRA awards for Lead Plaintiffs.

IV. REPORT ON CLAIMS RECEIVED

The notices informed potential Settlement Class Members that, if they wished to participate in the Settlement, they must submit a Claim Form to SCS, with supporting documentation, postmarked (if mailed) or submitted online by October 19, 2026. *See* Postcard Notice at 2; Notice at 3, 15; Claim Form at 1.

SCS has received 311,660 Claims. *See* Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 12. Based on SCS's preliminary review of the Claims received, 172,706 of those Claims appear to be valid, and those Claims include more than 104 million damaged shares and represent a total of $472,281,471.59 in Recognized Claims as calculated under the Plan of Allocation. *Id.* ¶ 13. This represents 91% of the total potential Recognized Claims under the Plan as estimated by Lead Plaintiffs' damages expert based on publicly available data and his trading model. Accordingly, Lead Counsel believes the Claims received demonstrate the effectiveness of the notice process and that the claim filing response by the Settlement Class has been robust. The Claims are still being processed by SCS and are subject to further reviews and adjustments, including following the review of documentation and auditing. *Id.* ¶ 14.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and the reasons set forth in their opening papers, Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel respectfully request that the Court approve the Settlement and the Plan of Allocation and approve the Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses. Attached as Appendix A to this Memorandum is a checklist that summarizes the Motions' compliance with the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements. Copies of the (i) [Proposed] Order Approving Class Action Settlement; (ii) [Proposed] Judgment; (iii) [Proposed] Order Approving Plan of Allocation of Net Settlement Fund; and (iv) [Proposed] Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses are attached hereto as Exhibits 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively, and will be submitted to the Court's email in Word format.

Dated: November 3, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP

/s/ James A. Harrod
Salvatore J. Graziano (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Hannah Ross (admitted *pro hac vice*)
James A. Harrod (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Jorge Tenreiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah K. Schmidt (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 554-1400
Facsimile: (212) 554-1444
salvatore@blbglaw.com
hannah@blbglaw.com
jim.harrod@blbglaw.com
jorge.tenreiro@blbglaw.com
sarah.schmidt@blbglaw.com

-and-

Jonathan D. Uslaner (Bar No. 256898)
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2575
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 819-3470
jonathanu@blbglaw.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi and Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System, and the Settlement Class

MOTLEY RICE LLC
Lance V. Oliver (admitted *pro hac vice*)
William S. Norton (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joshua C. Littlejohn (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Christopher F. Moriarty (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew P. Arnold (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Gregg S. Levin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Cameran M. Gilliam (admitted *pro hac vice*)
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 216-9000
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450
loliver@motleyrice.com
bnorton@motleyrice.com

jlittlejohn@motleyrice.com
cmoriarty@motleyrice.com
aarnold@motleyrice.com
glevin@motleyrice.com
cgilliam@motleyrice.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., and UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH, and the Settlement Class

DAVIDSON BOWIE, PLLC
John L. Davidson (admitted pro hac vice)
1062 Highland Colony Parkway 200 Concourse, Suite 275 Ridgeland, MS 39157
Telephone: (601) 932-0028
jdavidson@dbslawfirm.net

Additional Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi

APPENDIX A

CHECKLIST FOR N.D. CAL. PROCEDURAL GUIDANCE FOR CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS

FINAL APPROVAL PAPERS

N.D. Cal. Procedural Guidance Provision	Where Discussed in Papers
1) CLASS MEMBERS' RESPONSE—The motion for final approval briefing should include information about	
the number of undeliverable class notices and claim packets,	Reply Memo at 3:9-11; Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 5.
the number of class members who submitted valid claims,	Reply Memo at 15:8-16; Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶¶ 12-13.
the number of class members who opted out, and	Reply Memo at 2:9-12, 3:28 – 4:12; Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 9.
the number of class members who objected to or commented on the settlement	Reply Memo at 1:23-24, 3:26-28; Supp. Bravata Decl. ¶ 10.
2) ATTORNEYS' FEES—All requests for approval of attorneys' fees must include detailed lodestar information, even if the requested amount is based on a percentage of the settlement fund. Declarations of class counsel as to the number of hours spent on various categories of activities related to the action by each biller, together with hourly billing rate information may be sufficient, provided that the declarations are adequately detailed. Counsel should be prepared to submit copies of detailed billing records if the court orders.	Fee Motion (ECF No. 183), at 15:17 – 19:20; Joint Decl. (ECF No. 184), at ¶¶ 100-111, Ex. G1 (ECF No. 184-8) (Motley Rice Decl.) at Ex. 1, 2; and Ex. G2 (ECF No. 184-9) (BLB&G Decl.) at Ex. 1, 2 (including summary lodestar charts and breakdowns of hours by major litigation task).
3) SERVICE AWARDS—All requests for service awards must be supported by evidence of the value provided by the proposed awardees, the risks they undertook in participating, the time they spent on the litigation, and any other justifications for the awards.	Fee Motion (ECF No. 183), at 20:14 – 21:12; Joint Decl. (ECF No. 184), at ¶¶ 134-136; Gilson Decl. (ECF No. 184-2), at ¶¶ 5-6, 9-10; Ryals Decl. (ECF No. 184-3), at ¶¶ 6-7, 10-11; Holzapfel Decl. (ECF No. 184-4), at ¶¶ 5-6, 9-10.
4) ELECTRONIC VERSIONS—Electronic versions (Microsoft Word or Word Perfect) of all proposed orders and judgments should be submitted to the presiding judge's Proposed Order (PO) email address at the time they are filed.	The proposed Order Approving Class Action Settlement, proposed Judgment, proposed Order Approving Plan of Allocation of Net Settlement Fund, and proposed Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses will be emailed in Word format to rflpo@cand.uscourts.gov.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on November 3, 2026, I caused the foregoing Reply Memorandum in Further Support of (I) Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and Plan of Allocation, and (II) Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses, and its exhibits, to be filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court via the CM/ECF system, which will send automatic notification of the filing to all counsel of record in this matter. I further certify that, on November 3, 2026, I caused copies of these papers to be served by FedEx overnight delivery and by email on the following objectors at the mailing addresses and email addresses set forth below.

Dated: November 3, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ James A. Harrod
James A. Harrod

Objectors Served by FedEx and E-Mail

Nathanial Beckwith
815 Morgan Dr
Boulder, CO 80303
nate.beckwith@gmail.com

Barry Bacharach
2110 Artesia Bld. No. 658
Redondo Beach, CA 90278
BACHARACH@acm.org

John M. Casper
Susan K. Casper
110 Collin CT
Prosper, TX 75078
jackcasper1@gmail.com

Abdou Capital Holdings
11956 Bernardo Plaza Dr, #314
San Diego, CA 92128
michaelbabdou@gmail.com

Ryan Shaw
1112 NW 38th St
Gainesville, FL 32605
ryanws70@yahoo.com

Stuart Bernstein
316 Tanglewood Drive
Henniker, NH 03242
stuartdbernstein@comcast.net

Thomas J. Russo Sr.
Theresa M. Russo
505 Mirabay Blvd.
Apollo Beach, FL 33572
trussotrusso@yahoo.com

Michael A. Hauser
2911 Alpine Terrace
Cincinnati, OH 45208
greystone00@gmail.com

Dawson Blake Songer
Loring Songer
9848 Songer Ct.
Burleson, TX 76028
loring@davelindustries.com

Tim A Schoenhardt
Michelle Schoenhardt
6917 Hickory Avenue
Orangevale, CA 95662
mschoenh8527@gmail.com

Exhibit 1

Lance V. Oliver (admitted *pro hac vice*)
William S. Norton (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joshua C. Littlejohn (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Christopher F. Moriarty (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew P. Arnold (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Gregg S. Levin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Cameran M. Gilliam (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE LLC
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 216-9000
Facsimile: (843) 216-9450
loliver@motleyrice.com
bnorton@motleyrice.com
jlittlejohn@motleyrice.com
cmoriarty@motleyrice.com
aarnold@motleyrice.com
glevin@motleyrice.com
cgilliam@motleyrice.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., and UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH, and the Settlement Class

Salvatore J. Graziano (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Hannah Ross (admitted *pro hac vice*)
James A. Harrod (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Jorge Tenreiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Sarah K. Schmidt (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 554-1400
Facsimile: (212) 554-1444
salvatore@blbglaw.com
hannah@blbglaw.com
jim.harrod@blbglaw.com
jorge.tenreiro@blbglaw.com
sarah.schmidt@blbglaw.com

Co-Lead Counsel for Co-Lead Plaintiffs Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi and Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System, and the Settlement Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation

Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL

CLASS ACTION

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF JOSEPHINE BRAVATA REGARDING: (A) MAILING AND EMAILING OF NOTICE; AND (B) REPORT ON REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION, OBJECTIONS, AND CLAIMS RECEIVED

JUDGE: Hon. Rita F. Lin
DATE: November 17, 2026
TIME: 10:00 a.m.
DEPT.: Courtroom 4 - 17th Floor

I, Josephine Bravata, declare as follows:

1. I am the Director of Quality Assurance at Strategic Claims Services ("SCS").[1] Pursuant to the Court's July 7, 2026 Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice of Settlement (the "Preliminary Approval Order") (ECF No. 179), Lead Counsel were authorized to retain SCS to supervise and administer the notice procedure as well as the processing of claims in connection with the Settlement. I am over 21 years of age and am not a party to the Action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.

2. I submit this declaration as a supplement to my earlier Declaration of Josephine Bravata Regarding: (A) Mailing and Emailing of Notice; (B) Publication of the Summary Notice; and (C) Report on Requests for Exclusion, Objections, and Claims Received to Date, dated September 18, 2026 (ECF No. 184-6) (the "Initial Mailing Declaration").

UPDATE ON DISSEMINATION OF THE POSTCARD NOTICE

3. As noted in the Initial Mailing Declaration, through September 18, 2026, a total of 789,970 Postcard Notices had been sent to potential Settlement Class Members and nominees to inform them of the Settlement. Since the Initial Mailing Declaration was filed, SCS has mailed an additional 874 Postcard Notices that were requested by nominees to forward directly to their customers who were potential Settlement Class Members, and SCS was notified by a nominee that they mailed an additional 137,973 Postcard Notices as well as emailed an additional 44,015 Postcard Notices.

4. Through November 2, 2026, a total of 972,832[2] Postcard Notices and 2,433 Notice Packets have been disseminated to potential Settlement Class Members and nominees.

5. Out of the 691,240 Postcard Notices mailed by postal mail, a total of 23,419 were returned to SCS as undeliverable at the original address provided. Of these 23,419, SCS was provided

[1] All capitalized terms used herein that are not otherwise defined shall have the meanings provided in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 29, 2026 (ECF No. 169-2) (the "Stipulation").

[2] This amount represents 661,385 Postcard Notices mailed only; 281,592 Postcard Notices emailed only; and 29,855 Postcard Notices mailed and emailed.

forwarding addresses for 2,573 by the United States Postal Service, and SCS immediately mailed another Postcard Notice to the updated addresses. The remaining 20,846 Postcard Notices returned to SCS as undeliverable were "skip-traced" to obtain updated addresses using commercially available databases. Of these, 10,360 were re-mailed to updated addresses. Updated addresses could not be identified for the other 10,486 Postcard Notices returned as undeliverable. This number of undeliverable notices—which represents 1.5% of the total number of Postcard Notices mailed—is well within the rate of undeliverable notices typically seen in comparable class actions. *See, e.g.*, Post-Distribution Accounting at 1, *In re HP Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-01260-SI (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 2025), ECF No. 152 (2.7% of mailed notices were undeliverable); Post-Distribution Accounting at 1, *In re Yahoo! Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 5:17-cv-00373-LHK (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2020), ECF No. 131 (2.4% of mailed notices were undeliverable); Post-Distribution Accounting at 1, *In re RH, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 4:17-00554-YGR (N.D. Cal. Apr. 7, 2020), ECF No. 160 (1.7% of mailed notices were undeliverable).

UPDATE ON SETTLEMENT WEBSITE

6. The Initial Mailing Declaration also noted that on July 28, 2026, SCS established the case-specific website for the Settlement at www.SeagateSecuritiesLitigation.com ("Settlement Website"). The address for the Settlement Website is set forth in the Postcard Notice, Notice Packet, and in the Summary Notice. The Settlement Website includes information regarding the Action and the proposed Settlement, including the exclusion, objection, and claim filing deadlines, and details about the Court's Settlement Hearing. Downloadable copies of the Notice Packet and other important documents are posted on the Settlement Website. The Settlement Website also contains a secure online filing portal that allows Settlement Class Members to file a claim and receive a confirmation that their claim has been received by the Claims Administrator, as well as interactive forms that allowed Settlement Class Members to submit requests for exclusion or objections.

7. The Settlement Website is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. On September 21, 2026, at the direction of Lead Counsel, SCS updated the Settlement Website to include Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation; and Memorandum of

Law in Support Thereof (ECF No. 182); Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses and Memorandum of Law in Support Thereof (ECF No. 183); and Joint Declaration of Christopher F. Moriarty and James A. Harrod in Support of (I) Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Plan of Allocation; and (II) Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses (ECF No. 184). Since the Settlement Website was established on July 28, 2026, it has received 486,479 page views by 64,448 unique visitors. SCS will continue to maintain and update the Settlement Website as necessary throughout the administration of the Settlement.

UPDATE ON TELEPHONE HELPLINE

8. The Initial Mailing Declaration noted that SCS established and maintains a case-specific, toll-free telephone helpline, (866) 426-2293, for potential Settlement Class Members to call and obtain information about the Action and the Settlement. SCS has and will continue to maintain the telephone helpline and will update the interactive voice response system as necessary through the administration of the Settlement. Since the toll-free helpline was established on July 22, 2026, SCS has received 4,286 inbound calls, totaling 144 hours and 27 minutes, and SCS's live operators have spoken to more than 700 callers.

REPORT ON REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION RECEIVED

9. The Postcard Notice, Notice Packet, Summary Notice, and Settlement Website informed potential Settlement Class Members that requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class must be submitted either through the Opt-Out Form online at www.SeagateSecuritiesLitigation.com or by mail addressed to Seagate Securities Litigation, EXCLUSIONS, c/o Strategic Claims Services, P.O. Box 230, 600 N. Jackson Street, Suite 205, Media, PA 19063, and must be received no later than October 27, 2026. As noted in the Initial Mailing Declaration, SCS had received six requests for exclusion as of September 18, 2026, which were attached as Exhibit D to the Initial Mailing Declaration. As of the date of this declaration, SCS has received a total of thirty-four requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class, all of which were received on or before October 27, 2026. Copies

of the additional twenty-eight requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class received after the filing of Initial Mailing Declaration are attached hereto as Exhibit A.[3]

REPORT ON OBJECTIONS RECEIVED

10. The Postcard Notice, Notice Packet, Summary Notice, and Settlement Website also informed potential Settlement Class Members that if they disagreed with any part of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the attorneys' fee and litigation expense application, they must submit an objection either through the Objection Form online at www.SeagateSecuritiesLitigation.com or by mailed letter to the Court so that it was postmarked or submitted online on or before October 27, 2026. As noted in the Initial Mailing Declaration, SCS received three objections as of September 18, 2026. SCS has received five additional objections and SCS was notified by Lead Counsel that two other objections were received directly by the Court (ECF Nos. 185, 186). For the Court's convenience, copies of all ten objections submitted are attached to this declaration as Exhibits B-1 through B-10.[4]

REPORT ON CLAIMS RECEIVED

11. The Postcard Notice, Notice Packet, Summary Notice, and Settlement Website informed potential Settlement Class Members that if they wished to be eligible for a payment from the Settlement, they must submit a Claim Form either online at www.SeagateSecuritiesLitigation.com or by mail so that the Claim Form was postmarked or submitted online on or before October 19, 2026.

12. To date, SCS has received 311,660 Claims. Of those Claims, 957 were paper claims submitted by mail; 5,219 claims were filed through the online portal; and 305,484 were electronic claims filed by institutions and third-party filers. Of the claims filed through the online portal, a total of 5,895 claims were initiated and 5,219 were completed—an 89% completion rate.

13. The Claims received have been preliminarily processed based on the trading data submitted with the Claims and on the assumption that the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead

[3] Copies of the exclusion requests and objections submitted to the Court have been redacted to protect the privacy of the persons requesting exclusion or objecting. Specifically, street addresses, telephone numbers, email addresses, and social security numbers or other tax identification numbers of the requestors and objectors have been redacted.

[4] See note 3 above.

Plaintiffs (*see* Notice at 9-14) will be approved by the Court. Based on that analysis, 172,706 of the Claims submitted have been found to be eligible. The eligible claims include 104,560,088 damaged shares of Seagate common stock purchased in the Class Period (that is, shares that calculate to a Recognized Loss Amount under the Plan of Allocation and are eligible for payment),which calculate to total Recognized Claims under the Plan of Allocation of $472,281,471.59.

14. The above data was obtained through SCS's preliminary review of the Claims received, based on the information provided by Claimants with their Claims. The complete processing of these Claims will take several months. This process will include steps to confirm the accuracy of the transactions claimed and a review of the Claims for deficiencies, such as missing or incomplete documentation, duplicate submissions, and claimed transactions that do not balance (*i.e.*, where the number of shares held at the beginning of the Class Period plus purchases/acquisitions during the relevant time period do not match the number of shares sold during the relevant time period plus the number of shares held at the end of the period). SCS will also provide Claimants with an opportunity to correct any deficiencies in their Claims, will conduct thorough quality control and quality assurance processes, and will perform fraud prevention reviews as part of its normal claims processing procedures in order to ensure the validity and accuracy of all Claims. As a result of these procedures, the number of damaged shares for the Claims received and ultimately determined to be eligible for a Settlement payment is subject to change.

15. SCS has not encountered any problems in connection with the dissemination of the settlement notices, any repeated issues of confusion among Settlement Class Member or Claimants, or any fraud issues to date.

UPDATED ESTIMATE OF NOTICE AND ADMINISTRATION COSTS

16. In the Declaration of Paul Mulholland dated May 29, 2026 (ECF No. 169-3) ("Mulholland Declaration"), SCS estimated that the total Notice and Administration Costs for the Settlement would be approximately $679,000. ECF No. 169-3, at ¶ 24. That estimate was based on SCS's estimate that it would mail approximately 360,000 copies of the Postcard Notice and receive approximately 216,000 Claims (*id.* ¶¶ 16- 17) and expressly noted that "the ultimate cost of this

administration could change if the number of Postcard Notices mailed is substantially greater (or smaller) than SCS's estimate or if the number of Claims received is substantially greater (or smaller) than the estimate." *Id.* ¶ 25.

17. As noted above, a total of 972,832 Postcard Notices were disseminated by mail or email—2.7 times the 360,000 Postcard Notices originally estimated, and the mailed Postcard Notices alone 691,240 were nearly double the original estimate. As discussed in the Mulholland Declaration, because there is no list of class members available at the outset of the process, the size of the mailing can only be roughly estimated in advance. Here, the number of potentially eligible Settlement Class Members identified by brokers and nominees exceeded SCS's estimate. Similarly, 311,660 Claims have been received which is 144% of the 216,000 Claims estimated. In addition, because brokers and nominees ended up providing more names and address and forwarding more Postcard Notices than estimated, the amounts those brokers have charged are also higher. Brokers and nominees to date have charged $356,304.94 for those costs, rather than the $150,000 estimated. *See* Mulholland Declaration ¶ 24.

18. For these reasons, SCS has revised its estimate for total Notice and Administration Costs, based on the actual number of Postcard Notices mailed and Claims received, and the actual broker costs charged, to be $990,000 through the initial distribution.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 3rd day of November 2026 in Media, Pennsylvania.

Josephine Bravata

Josephine Bravata

EXHIBIT A

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2026 2:46 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000007

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Karen M Gorny

Address

[redacted]
Hammond, IN 46323
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

100

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

100

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

100

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Karen M Gorny

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2026 2:52 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000008

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Jeffrrey S Key

Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)

Cynthia Reena Key

Address

[redacted]
Murfreesboro, TN 37129
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Jeffrey S Key

Signature of Joint Claimant
Cynthia Reena Key

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 18, 2026 4:39 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

case_code
SEAG-2026-96649967
claim_id
OC-SEAG-3-00000009
SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION
Beneficial Owner's Name
Moshe Adar
Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)
VARDA ADAR
Address
[redacted] Miami, FL 33179 [redacted] [redacted]
Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

5

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

10

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

10

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	09/18/2020	5	49.14	245.7

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	06/02/2021	5	98.792	493.96000000000004

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

5

TOTAL PURCHASES

15

TOTAL SALES

5

ENDING HOLDINGS

10

Outstanding Shares

5

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Moshe Adar

Signature of Joint Claimant

varda adar

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2026 1:45 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000010

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Igor Harag

Address

[redacted]
Highland Park, IL 60035
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

1,000

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

1,000

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

1,000

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

igorharag

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2026 3:03 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000011

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

oliver harrison

Address

[redacted]
fergus falls, MN 56537
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

50

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	04/19/2022	50	82.04	4,102

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

100

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

100

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Oliver Harrison

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2026 11:23 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000012

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Joseph Losquadro

Address

[redacted]
Fresh Meadows, NY 11365
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

35

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

35

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

35

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Joseph Losquadro

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2026 10:50 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000013

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Gary Gavenda

Address

[redacted]
Leroy, NY 14482
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Gary Gavenda

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2026 1:34 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000014

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Edward Hood

Address

[redacted]
Jack, AL 36346
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

68

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

7

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	10/09/2020	0.86621	51.119	44.27978899
common	01/08/2021	0.77587	59.585	46.23021395
common	04/09/2021	0.58606	79.77	46.7500062
common	07/09/2021	0.54657	86.247	47.14002279
common	09/22/2021	0.57593	82.475	47.499826750000004
common	01/07/2022	0.43605	114.735	50.03019675

common	04/08/2022	0.58019	86.765	50.34018535
common	07/11/2022	0.68995	73.556	50.74996219999999
common	10/07/2022	0.91206	56.17	51.2304102
common	01/09/2023	0.97339	53.288	51.870006319999995
common	04/11/2023	0.79886	65.781	52.54980966000001

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

68

TOTAL PURCHASES

14.7411

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

82.7411

Consent

☑ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Edward Hood

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2026 2:05 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000015

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Tyler Williams

Address

[redacted]
Castaic, California 91384
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0.082

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

3

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

3

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	12/01/2020	0.082	5	0.41000000000000003
common		3	92.25	276.75

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	12/09/2020	0.082	5.2	0.42640000000000006
common	06/17/2021	3	92.25	276.75

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0.082

TOTAL PURCHASES

6.082

TOTAL SALES

3.082

ENDING HOLDINGS

3

Outstanding Shares

0.082

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Tyler Williams

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2026 1:54 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000016

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Mitchell Connors

Address

[redacted]
NORTH EAST, PA 16428
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

75

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

75

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

75

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Mitchell Connors

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2026 1:33 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000017

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Joshua Mayer

Address

[redacted]
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80921
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

1

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

1

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	09/10/2021	1	84.2	84.2

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

1

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

1

ENDING HOLDINGS

1

Outstanding Shares

-1

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Joshua Mayer

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2026 10:47 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000018

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

JAY D FORREST

Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)

DENISE L FORREST

Address

[redacted]
PEQUANNOCK, NJ 07440
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

200

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

100

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	10/12/2021	100	79.85	7,984.999999999999

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

200

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

100

ENDING HOLDINGS

100

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Jay D Forrest

Signature of Joint Claimant

Denise L Forrest

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2026 2:29 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000019

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Eutana Ruth Lovejoy

Entity Name

Estate of Eutana Ruth Lovejoy

Representative or Custodian Name

Ladona Matlock, executor/personal representative of the Estate of Eutana Ruth Lovejoy

Address

[redacted]
Sapulpa, Oklahoma 74067
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Estate

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

87

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

87

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	03/03/2021	9	75.52777777777779	679.7500000000001
common	03/04/2021	1	71.69	71.69

common	03/04/2021	2	71.685	143.37
common	03/04/2021	18	71.68611	1,290.34998
common	03/04/2021	16	71.68563	1,146.97008
common	03/05/2021	1	72.44	72.44
common	03/05/2021	7	72.43571	507.04997000000003
common	03/05/2021	4	72.435	289.74
common	03/08/2021	8	74.45125	595.61
common	03/09/2021	2	75.54	151.08
common	03/09/2021	3	75.54	226.62
common	03/10/2021	7	75.02143	525.15001
common	03/11/2021	4	77.1525	308.61
common	03/12/2021	2	76.685	153.37
common	03/12/2021	1	76.69	76.69
common	03/12/2021	2	76.685	153.37

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	06/21/2021	4	85.76	343.04

common	06/21/2021	1	85.75	85.75
common	06/22/2021	2	84.215	168.43
common	09/29/2022	9	53.92667	485.34003
common	09/29/2022	1	53.93	53.93
common	09/30/2022	2	53.985	107.97
common	10/03/2022	18	55.07389	991.33002
common	10/04/2022	16	57.005	912.08
common	10/05/2022	1	57.05	57.05
common	10/05/2022	8	57.0525	456.42
common	10/05/2022	2	57.055	114.11
common	10/06/2022	7	55.72429	390.07003000000003
common	10/07/2022	4	54.1625	216.65
common	10/10/2022	3	52.62	157.85999999999999
common	10/11/2022	7	52.40714	366.84997999999996
common	10/11/2022	2	52.41	104.82

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

174

TOTAL SALES

87

ENDING HOLDINGS

87

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Estate of Eutana Ruth Lovejoy

Person Signing on Behalf of Claimant

Ladona Matlock, Executor/Personal Representative

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2026 12:44 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code
SEAG-2026-96649967
claim_id
OC-SEAG-3-00000020

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name
CHICAGO METAL ROLLED PRODUCTS COMPANY
Entity Name
CHICAGO METAL ROLLED PRODUCTS COMPANY
Representative or Custodian Name
DANIEL RANKIN
Address
[redacted] Chicago, IL 606321030 [redacted] [redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Corporation

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

1,438

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

752

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	01/29/2021	161	73.0959	11,768.4399
common	01/31/2022	363	106.12035	38,521.68705
common	01/05/2023	59	51.7995	3,056.1705
common	01/05/2023	85	56.7686	4,825.331
common	01/26/2023	84	62.3179	5,234.7036

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

1,438

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

752

ENDING HOLDINGS

752

Outstanding Shares

-66

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

CHICAGO METAL ROLLED PRODUCTS COMPANY

Person Signing on Behalf of Claimant

DANIEL L RANKIN, REPRESENTATIVE

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2026 1:31 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000021

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Madeline R.Sabato

Representative or Custodian Name

JPMS LLC CUST.

Address

[redacted]
Stamford, Connecticut 06902-1619
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	07/15/2022	21	52.61	1,104.81

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common		0		0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

21

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

21

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Madeline Sabato

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, September 25, 2026 4:27 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000022

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Anthony Lazaretti

Address

[redacted]
Long Beach, CA 90803
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

50

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

50

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

50

TOTAL PURCHASES

50

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

100

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Anthony Lazaretti

September 23, 2026

Seagate Securities Litigation
C/o Strategic Claims Services
600 N. Jackson St., Ste. 205
Media, PA 19063


Subject: Exclusion

Forest A. Benson does NOT want to be a class member in Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, No 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal) and is requesting exclusion from the class.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,
Forest A. Benson
[redacted]
Glen Mills, PA 19342

Case 3:23-cv-03431-RFL Document 187-1 Filed 11/03/26 Page 67 of 137 PageID #: 6787



Janet V. Benson
[redacted]
Glen Mills, PA 19342-1191

WILMINGTON DE 197
24 SEP 2026 PM 3 L



Seagate Securities Litigation
C/o Strategic Claims Services
600 N. Jackson St., Ste. 205
Media, PA 19063

SEP 28 2026

19063-256455

September 23, 2026

Seagate Securities Litigation
C/o Strategic Claims Services
600 N. Jackson St. Ste 205
Media, PA 19063

Subject: Exclusion

Janet V. Benson does NOT want to be a Class Member in Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, No 3:23-CV-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal) and is requesting exclusion from the Class.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Janet V. Benson

Janet V. Benson

[redacted]

Glen Mills, PA 19342

Janet V. Benson
[redacted]
Glen Mills, PA 19342-1191

WILMINGTON DE 197
24 SEP 2026 PM 3 L



Seagate Securities Litigation
C/o Strategic Claims Services
600 N. Jackson St., Ste 205
Media, PA 19063

SEP 28 2026

19063-256455

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2026 1:24 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000023

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Daniel Plascencia

Address

[redacted]
San Jose, CA 95131
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

15

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

15

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

15

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Daniel Plascencia

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2026 11:31 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000024

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Deborah Ramirez

Address

[redacted]
Boulder, CO 80301
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

139

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

624

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	02/01/2021	140	80.46	11,264.4
common	08/02/2021	94	86.64	8,144.16

common	09/10/2021	43	37.69	1,620.6699999999998
common	02/01/2022	78	81.2	6,333.6
common	08/01/2022	87	66.96	5,825.5199999999995
common	09/12/2022	43	53.23	2,288.89
common	09/12/2022	32	53.23	1,703.36
common	02/01/2023	107	43.62	4,667.34

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

139

TOTAL PURCHASES

1,248

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

1,387

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Deborah Ramirez

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2026 3:48 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000025

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Gary Ciccione

Address

[redacted]
DOWNERS GROVE, ILLINOIS 60515
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Gary Ciccione

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2026 3:51 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000026

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

ROSEMARY CICCIONE

Address

[redacted]
DOWNERS GROVE, ILLINOIS 60515
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

ROSEMARY CICCIONE

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Monday, October 5, 2026 11:36 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000027

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

John P. Pilkington

Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)

Michelle M. Pilkington

Address

[redacted]
Bradenton, Florida 34211
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

750

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

750

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	10/19/2020	750	52.9299	39,697.425

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	03/08/2021	750	74.03363	55,525.2225

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

1,500

TOTAL SALES

750

ENDING HOLDINGS

750

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

John P. Pilkington

Signature of Joint Claimant

Michelle M. Pilkington

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2026 1:42 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000028

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Barry Bacharach

Address

[redacted]
Redondo Beach, California 90278-3073
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

3238722079

Evening Phone

3238722079

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

44

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

35

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	10/25/2021	44	80	3,520

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	04/18/2023	35	65.35	2,287.25

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

88

TOTAL SALES

35

ENDING HOLDINGS

35

Outstanding Shares

18

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Barry Bacharach

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 8, 2026 5:39 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000029

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

John J. Salazar

Address

[redacted]
Grand Junction, CO 81507
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

12

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

12

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	10/26/2021	12	88.12	1,057.44

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	11/29/2021	12	104.175	1,250.1

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

24

TOTAL SALES

12

ENDING HOLDINGS

12

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

John J. Salazar

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2026 7:09 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000030

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Sandra Samora

Address

[redacted]
Pollock Pines, CA 95726
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

-2

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

-2

Outstanding Shares

2

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Sandra Samora

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, October 16, 2026 7:04 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000031

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Kim Weber

Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)

Jerilyn Weber

Address

[redacted]
Springfield, Ohio 45503-6200
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

7

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

7

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	06/23/2022	7	70.9	496.30000000000007

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	09/26/2022	7	56.51	395.57

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

14

TOTAL SALES

7

ENDING HOLDINGS

7

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Kim Weber

Signature of Joint Claimant

Jerilyn Weber

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Exclusion <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2026 3:18 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Exclusion Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-3-00000032

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Lynn Barnes

Address

[redacted]
Milpitas, CA 95035
[redacted]
[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

0

Consent

✔ I (we) wish to request exclusion from the Settlement Class in In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.). I (we) understand that by requesting exclusion, I (we) will not be able to receive payment from the Settlement.

Signature

Lynn Barnes

EXHIBIT B-1

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2026 10:26 AM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-2-00000001

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Nathanial Beckwith

Address

[redacted]
Boulder, Colorado 80303
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

Investing carries risk of loss, especially if you're investing short term or day trading. This is a nonsense money grab by parasites and should be rejected. Long term investors bore zero consequences.

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

3,000

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

1,000

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

3,000

TOTAL PURCHASES

1,000

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

4,000

Signature of Claimant

Nate Beckwith

EXHIBIT B-2

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2026 2:33 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-2-00000003

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

john m casper

Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)

susan k casper

Representative or Custodian Name

Charles Schwab

Address

[redacted]
Prosper, TX 75078
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

We purchased 1,000 shares on 6 July 2020. We are not included in the class action, but we are being disadvantaged by this settlement. Managements actions have harmed all shareholders not just those in the included period. The settlement has the disadvantage to the share price of our holdings and those of other shareholders. We disagree with the proposed settlement.

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

1,000

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE:

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

1,000

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

1,000

Signature of Claimant

john m casper

Signature of Joint Claimant

susan k casper

EXHIBIT B-3

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2026 4:35 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-2-00000004

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Ryan Shaw

Address

[redacted]
Gainesville, Florida 32605
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

This is a money grab by some uppity lawyers, nothing more.

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

74

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

74

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

74

Signature of Claimant
Ryan W. Shaw

EXHIBIT B-4

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 19, 2026 12:59 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

case_code
SEAG-2026-96649967
claim_id
OC-SEAG-2-00000005
SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION
Beneficial Owner's Name
Thomas J Russo Sr
Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)
Theresa M Russo
Address
[redacted] [redacted] NA, Florida Apollo Beach / 33572 [redacted] [redacted]
Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

Reason for Objection is the requirement to provide numerous purchase documents of the shares of stock that may reside in IRA and personal stock funds purchased thru investment entities such as Schwag, Merril Lynch, Soverign etc.
How is it reasonable for an individual to file a claim with this kind of detail requirement in records that do not exist as far back as 2020. It should be a requirement of Seagate to list the funds that purchased their stock during the period and the associated fund list individuals and other entities that participated in the fund during the period identified in the proposed settlement.

Thank-you for your attention and consideration.
Sincerely
Thomas J Russo, Sr

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

0

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares
0
Signature of Claimant
Thomas J Russo Sr
Signature of Joint Claimant
Theresa M Russo

EXHIBIT B-5

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2026 1:16 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-2-00000006

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

Dawson Blake Songer

Representative or Custodian Name

Loring Songer

Address

[redacted]
Burleson, TX 76028
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

I believe the attorneys are just trying to make money off of the company.

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

2,225

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

2,315

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

2,225

TOTAL PURCHASES

2,315

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

4,540

Signature of Claimant
Loring Songer
Person Signing on Behalf of Claimant
Loring Songer

EXHIBT B-6

FILED
SEP 28 2026
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Barry Bacharach
[redacted]
Redondo Beach, CA 90278-3073

September 19, 2026

United States District Court for the Northern District of California
c/o Clerk of Court
Phillip Burton Federal Building
450 Golden Gate Avenue,
16th floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Clerk of the Court,

I object to the settlement in re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, No. 3:23-cv 03431-RFL (N.D. Cal.).

The precipitous drop in the value of the Seagate Technology Holdings plc stock during the relevant times periods meant that plaintiffs had to sell the stock at a loss far exceeding the estimated one dollar per share settlement amount.

The type of documentation required to receive a settlement amount is onerous, as securities reports with the required information have information for other securities and the terms of the settlement do not allow marking up the documentation to redact the information for other securities.

The timeframe for submitting a claim or opting out of the settlement is extremely brief, especially considering the amount of time needed to collect the required documentation.

It also is unreasonable that, in order to opt out of the settlement, one has to provide the same documentation that is required for making a claim.

Yours truly,

Barry Bacharach

Barry Bacharach
[redacted]
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Retail

LOS ANGELES

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

94102

RDC 99

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
CULVER CITY, CA 90232
SEP 23, 2026

$6.37

S2324N504774-2

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS, FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

CERTIFIED MAIL®

9589 0710 5270 2847 7642 58

United States District Court for the Northern
District of California
c/o Clerk of Court
Phillip Burton Federal Building
450 Golden Gate Avenue,
16th floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

94102-342616

EXHIBIT B-7

Abdou Capital Holdings
[redacted]
San Diego, CA 92128

September 25, 2026

VIA FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Clerk of the Court
United States District Court for the Northern District of California
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:23-cv-01931-RFL (Court Assigned Job No. N40256-010)

To the Clerk of the Court, and All Counsel of Record:

I am writing on behalf of Abdou Capital Holdings to submit this formal objection to the proposed $175,000,000 settlement in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action.

1. Class Member Status
Abdou Capital Holdings is a member of the Settlement Class, having purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Seagate Technology Holdings plc (ticker: STX) common stock during the Class Period (September 14, 2020, through April 19, 2023). A formal claim has already been successfully filed with the law firm under Claim Number oC-SEAG-1-00001334, which included the necessary copies of our brokerage statements and trade confirmations detailing the dates, number of shares, and prices of our purchases and sales.

2. Statement of Objection
Abdou Capital Holdings objects to the proposed settlement on the grounds that the total settlement amount and the resulting Plan of Allocation do not adequately compensate individual class members for the financial losses suffered due to the alleged omissions regarding export control violations. Given the scope of the alleged misrepresentations, the estimated recovery amount per share is insufficient to make investors whole. I respectfully request that the Court reconsider the adequacy of the settlement terms on behalf of all class members based on this submission.

3. Intent to Appear
I do not intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing in person or through counsel. I request that

the Court consider this written submission during its evaluation.

Thank you for your consideration of this objection.

Sincerely,

By: Mall Abdou

Authorized Representative for Abdou Capital Holdings

Michael B. Abdou
[redacted]
San Diego, CA 92150

SAN DIEGO CA 920
26 SEP 2026 PM 5 L



RECEIVED
OCT 01 2026
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clerk of the court
United States Dist Court
Northern Dist of Calif
450 Golden Gate Ave
San Francisco CA 94102

94102-942717

Filed 10/01/26 Document 186 Case 3:23-cv-03431-RFL

EXHIBIT B-8

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2026 4:17 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

case_code
SEAG-2026-96649967
claim_id
OC-SEAG-2-00000007
SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION
Beneficial Owner's Name
Stuart Bernstein
Entity Name
Mr.Stuart Bernstein IRRA
Representative or Custodian Name
Merrill Lynch
Address
[redacted] Henniker, NH 03242

[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

The Defendant has all the information that is being requested on the claim form. The form asks for information which in my case is extremely difficult to compile as the data is in some cases 8 years old. I owned this stock in a retirement account that is managed by Merrill Lynch and many 1 and 2 share trades were made on different dates in different years. It seems to me for the (maybe) $1.03 per share less .26 cents, for my 80 + or - shares the paperwork required is excessive. It is also unnecessary since the defendant already has all this information. I have no idea if I lost money due to the actions of the company, but I suspect I did and it was more than the $75 we are talking about here. If they truly did this, why can't they just make the refunds and we can all move forward.- Stuart Bernstein

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

57

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

48

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

63

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

57

TOTAL PURCHASES

48

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

63

Outstanding Shares

42

Signature of Claimant

Stuart Bernstein

Person Signing on Behalf of Claimant

Class Member and IRA owner

EXHIBIT B-9

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Monday, October 19, 2026 10:18 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

case_code

SEAG-2026-96649967

claim_id

OC-SEAG-2-00000008

SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION

Beneficial Owner's Name

fmtc custodian-roth ira fbo Michael A Hauser

Entity Name

fmtc custodian-roth ira fbo Michael A Hauser

Address

[redacted]
Cincinnati, OH 45208
[redacted]
[redacted]

Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

IRA

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

All of these lawsuits are just legalized extortion by law firms. Management makes forward looking statements in their best estimates, sometimes economic circumstances or client decisions change---it happens in business. I know I have been in business for over 40 years.

These lawsuits just gut the companies of important resources that could be used to restore business and thus stock prices. I do not benefit from receiving a couple hundred dollars of my couple thousands or by now maybe no losses! Only the lawyers who in this case are asking for as much as $45,000,000 (gasp!) are the winners.

I ask that you start a trend of denying these frivilous and wasteful lawsuits. Put an end to this extortion, allow companies to invest in their businesses to grow and benefit shareholders. STOP THE EXTORTION!!

Michael A. Hauser

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

647

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

647

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

646

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

647

TOTAL PURCHASES

647

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS
646
Outstanding Shares
648
Signature of Claimant
Michael A. Hauser

EXHIBIT B-10

Josephine Bravata

From: Seagate Objections <info@seagatesecuritieslitigation.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2026 5:36 PM
To: Josephine Bravata
Subject: Seagate Objection Submission

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

case_code
SEAG-2026-96649967
claim_id
OC-SEAG-2-00000009
SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER INFORMATION
Beneficial Owner's Name
Tim A Schoenhardt
Joint Owner's Name (if applicable)
Michelle Schoenhardt
Address
[redacted] Orangevale, CA 95662 [redacted] [redacted]
Day Phone

[redacted]

Evening Phone

[redacted]

Email

[redacted]

Account Information

Last four digits of Social Security Number (for individuals) or Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporation, etc.)

[redacted]

Claimant Account Type (check appropriate box):

Individual (includes joint owner accounts)

REASONS FOR OBJECTION

Under the circumstances of this case, Seagate should pay out the entire amount of the loss ($412 million). Plus insurance is paying a portion of the settlement, making it even less of a penalty to Seagate.

TRANSACTIONS IN SEAGATE COMMON STOCK

NUMBER OF SHARES OF SEAGATE COMMON STOCK HELD AS OF THE OPENING OF TRADING ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

50

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES SOLD DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

0

PURCHASES/ACQUISITIONS FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE

Transaction_Type	Date of Purchase/ Acquisition (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Purchased/Acquired	Purchase/Acquisition Price Per Share	Total Purchase Price (excluding any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common	11/14/2021	50	109.009	5,450.45

SALES FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 THROUGH APRIL 19, 2023, INCLUSIVE.

Transaction_Type	Date of Sale (List Chronologically) (Month/Day/Year)	Number of Shares Sold	Sale Price Per Share	Total Sale Price (not deducting any taxes, commissions, and fees)
common				0

BALANCE CHECK

BEGINNING HOLDINGS

0

TOTAL PURCHASES

100

TOTAL SALES

0

ENDING HOLDINGS

0

Outstanding Shares

100

Documentation

Upload Files

- Seagate-Account-Detail.pdf

Signature of Claimant

Tim Schoenhardt

Signature of Joint Claimant

Michelle Schoenhardt

Exhibit 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation	Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL CLASS ACTION **[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** Hon. Rita F. Lin

WHEREAS, a securities class action is pending in this Court entitled *In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation*, Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL (the "Action");

WHEREAS, (a) Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH, Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, and Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System ("Lead Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class, and (b) defendant Seagate Technology Holdings plc ("Seagate" or the "Company"), and defendants William D. Mosley and Gianluca Romano (collectively, the "Individual Defendants" and, with Seagate, "Defendants") have entered into the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 29, 2026 ("Stipulation"), that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court ("Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Final Approval Order, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meanings as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated July 7, 2026 ("Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court: (a) found, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that it (i) would likely be able to certify the Settlement Class solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement and (ii) would likely be able to approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate under Rule 23(e)(2); (b) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Settlement Class Members; (c) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or to object to the proposed Settlement; and (d) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on November 17, 2026 ("Settlement Hearing") to consider, among other things, (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against Defendants, and authorizing the Releases specified and described in the Stipulation; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. **Jurisdiction** – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

2. **Incorporation of Settlement Documents** – This Final Approval Order incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on May 29, 2026; and (b) the Notice, Postcard Notice, Claim Form, and Summary Notice, all of which were filed with the Court on September 18, 2026.

3. **Class Certification for Settlement Purposes Only** – The Court finds, for purposes of the Settlement of the Action only, that the prerequisites for a class action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) have been satisfied in that: (a) the number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) Lead Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) Lead Plaintiffs fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Settlement Class; and (f) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the Action. Accordingly, the Court hereby certifies, for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the Settlement Class consisting of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired common stock of Seagate from September 14, 2020 through April 19, 2023, inclusive (the "Class Period"), and were allegedly damaged thereby. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) any current or former officers or directors of Seagate; (iii) the Immediate Family Members of any Individual Defendant or any current or former officer or director of Seagate; (iv) any entity that any Defendant owns or controls, or owned or controlled, during the Class Period; (v) the legal representatives, heirs, affiliates, successors, or assigns of any such excluded persons and entities, in their respective capacity as such; and (vi) the presiding Judge, their staff, and the Immediate

Family Members of the Judge and their staff. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are the persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1 hereto who or which are excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to request.

4. **Adequacy of Representation** – Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby appoints Lead Plaintiffs as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class and appoints Lead Counsel Motley Rice LLC and Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement, and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

5. **Notice** – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice and Postcard Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Action; (ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (iii) Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses; (iv) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses; (v) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; and (vi) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules.

6. **CAFA Notice** – The Court finds that the notice requirements set forth in the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, to the extent applicable to the Action, have been satisfied.

7. **Objections** – The Court has considered each of the objections to the Settlement submitted pursuant to Rule 23(e)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds and concludes that each of the objections is without merit for the reasons explained during the Settlement Hearing, and each is hereby overruled.

8. **Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims** – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation, the amount of the Settlement, the Releases provided for therein, and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. Specifically, the Court finds that (a) Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have adequately represented the Settlement Class; (b) the Settlement was negotiated by the Parties at arm's length; (c) the relief provided for the Settlement Class under the Settlement is adequate taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal, the proposed means of distributing the Settlement Fund to the Settlement Class, and the proposed attorneys' fee award; and (d) the Settlement treats members of the Settlement Class equitably relative to each other. The Parties are directed to implement, perform, and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Stipulation.

9. The Action and all of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action by Lead Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all Defendants. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

10. **Binding Effect** – The terms of the Stipulation and of this Final Approval Order shall be forever binding on Defendants, Lead Plaintiffs, and all Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund or received notice of the Settlement), as well as their Releasing Related Persons. The persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1 hereto are excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to request and are not bound by the terms of the Stipulation, this Final Approval Order, or the Judgment.

11. **Releases** – The Releases set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 12 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the other Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their Releasing Related Persons, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and

of this Final Approval Order and the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged, and will be forever barred from asserting, commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or maintaining in any court of law or equity, arbitration, or other forum, any and all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against Defendants and the other Defendants' Releasees, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Claim or objects to the Settlement. This release shall not apply to any person or entity listed on Exhibit 1 hereto. This provision will apply regardless of whether any Lead Plaintiff or other Settlement Class Member or their Releasing Related Persons has executed a Claim Form, received notice, participated in the Settlement Fund, filed an objection, or had his, her, or its Claim approved or allowed.

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 12 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their Releasing Related Persons, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Final Approval Order and the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged any and all Released Defendants' Claims against Lead Plaintiffs and the other Plaintiffs' Releasees. This release shall not apply to any person or entity listed on Exhibit 1 hereto.

12. Notwithstanding paragraphs 11(a) – (b) above, nothing in this Final Approval Order shall bar any action by any of the Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Final Approval Order or the Judgment.

13. **Bar Order** – Upon the Effective Date, any and all claims for contribution or indemnity (or any other claim, however denominated on whatsoever theory, for which the injury claimed is that person's alleged liability to Lead Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member or their Releasing Related Persons) based on or arising out of any Released Plaintiffs' Claims (a) by any person or entity against any of Defendants' Releasees and (b) by any of Defendants' Releasees against any other person or entity, are permanently barred, extinguished, and discharged to the fullest extent permitted by law (the "Bar Order"), provided however, that nothing in the Bar Order shall release or alter the rights Defendants may have under their applicable insurance policies or any right of indemnification or contribution that Individual Defendants may have under contract or otherwise. The Bar Order shall be consistent with, and apply to the full extent of, the PSLRA.

14. **Judgment Reduction** – Any final verdict or judgment that may be obtained by or on behalf of the Settlement Class or a Settlement Class Member or their Releasing Related Persons against any person or entity subject to the Bar Order shall be reduced by the greater of: (a) an amount that corresponds to the percentage of responsibility of Defendants; or (b) the amount paid by or on behalf of Defendants to the Settlement Class.

15. **Rule 11 Findings** – The Court finds and concludes that the Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

16. **No Admissions** – Neither this Final Approval Order, the Judgment, the Term Sheet, the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the Parties' mediation and subsequent Settlement, any documents produced or provided in connection with the Parties' mediation and subsequent Settlement, the communications and/or discussions leading to the execution of the Term Sheet and this Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Term Sheet, the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith): (a) shall be offered against any of Defendants' Releasees as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of Defendants' Releasees with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Lead Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of Defendants' Releasees or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of Defendants' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; (b) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Releasees that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Defendants' Releasees had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the

Plaintiffs' Releasees, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; or (c) shall be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial; *provided, however*, the Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to the Stipulation and this Final Approval Order and the Judgment to effectuate the protections from liability granted hereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement, and Defendants' Releasees may file the Stipulation, this Final Approval Order, and/or the Judgment in any other action that may be brought against them to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion, or similar defense or counterclaim. Upon the Effective Date, to the extent allowed by law, the Stipulation, this Final Approval Order, and the Judgment shall operate conclusively as an estoppel and full defense in the event, and to the extent, of any claim, demand, action, or proceeding brought by any Lead Plaintiff or other Settlement Class Member or their Releasing Related Persons against any of the Defendants' Releasees with respect to any Released Plaintiffs' Claims.

17. **Retention of Jurisdiction** – Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve the Plan of Allocation; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Action.

18. Funds will be distributed to eligible Claimants following the Effective Date of the Settlement and following the Court's entry of the Class Distribution Order. Lead Plaintiffs anticipate making a motion for approval of the Class Distribution Order seven months after the deadline for submission of Claim Forms, and anticipate sending payments to eligible Claimants by check or wire six weeks after the Court entered the Class Distribution Order.

19. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a plan of allocation and the motion of Lead Counsel for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Final Approval Order or the Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

20. **Modification of the Agreement of Settlement** – Without further approval from the Court, Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Order; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court or notice to Settlement Class Members, Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

21. **Termination of Settlement** – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Final Approval Order and the Judgment shall be vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Stipulation, and this Final Approval Order and the Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Lead Plaintiffs, the other Settlement Class Members, and Defendants' Releasees, and the Parties shall revert to their respective litigation positions in the Action immediately prior the execution of the Term Sheet as of April 20, 2026, as provided in the Stipulation.

22. **Entry of Final Judgment** – There is no just reason to delay the entry of the Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2026.

_____

The Honorable Rita F. Lin
United States District Judge

Exhibit 1

List of Persons and Entities Excluded from the Settlement Class Pursuant to Request

1. Moshe Adar
   Varda Adar
   Miami, FL

2. Barry Bacharach
   Redondo Beach, CA

3. Igor Harag
   Highland Park, IL

4. Oliver Harrison
   Fergus Falls, MN

5. Edward Hood
   Jack, AL

6. Donald L. Kimrey
   Cherokee, KS

7. Anthony Lazaretti
   Long Beach, CA

8. Joseph Losquadro
   Fresh Meadows, NY

9. Eutana Ruth Lovejoy
   Estate of Eutana Ruth Lovejoy
   c/o Ladona Matlock, Executor
   Sapulpa, OK

10. John P. Pilkington
    Michelle M. Pilkington
    Bradenton, FL

11. Daniel Plascencia
    San Jose, CA

12. Deborah Ramirez
    Boulder, CO

13. Madeline R. Sabado
    Stamford, CT

14. John K. Salazar
    Grand Junction, CO

15. Kim Weber
    Jerilyn Weber
    Springfield, OH

16. Tyler Williams
    Castaic, CA

Exhibit 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation	Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL CLASS ACTION **[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT** Hon. Rita F. Lin

The Action and all of the claims asserted in the Action by Lead Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all Defendants as set forth in the Court's Order Approving Class Action Settlement dated _____, 2026. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2026.

_____
The Honorable Rita F. Lin
United States District Judge

Exhibit 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation	Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL CLASS ACTION **[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND** Hon. Rita F. Lin

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on November 17, 2026 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Plaintiffs' motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund ("Plan of Allocation") created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (the "Action") should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over the *Globe Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 29, 2026 (ECF No. 169-2), as amended on June 29, 2026 (ECF No. 174-1) (the "Stipulation"), and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation and of the date for the hearing on such motion was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Over 972,000 Postcard Notices and 2,400 Notice Packets (*i.e.*, the Notice and Claim Form) were mailed to potential Settlement Class Members and Nominees, and the Notice, which included the

Plan of Allocation, was posted on the Settlement Website. No objections to the Plan of Allocation were submitted

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval of the Plan of Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2026.

_____

The Honorable Rita F. Lin
United States District Judge

Exhibit 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Seagate Technology Holdings plc Securities Litigation	Case No. 3:23-cv-03431-RFL CLASS ACTION **[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES** Hon. Rita F. Lin

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on November 17, 2026 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over the *Globe Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses requested,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated May 29, 2026 (ECF No. 169-2), as amended on June 29, 2026 (ECF No. 174-1) (the "Stipulation"), and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Plaintiffs' Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of 25% of the Settlement Fund, net of Litigation Expenses, or $43,500,911 (plus interest earned on this amount at the same rate as the Settlement Fund), and $928,329.49 for payment of Plaintiffs' Counsel's Litigation Expenses. These attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund and the Court finds these sums to be fair and reasonable. Lead Counsel shall allocate the attorneys' fees awarded among

Plaintiffs' Counsel in a manner which they, in good faith, believe reflects the contributions of such counsel to the institution, prosecution, and settlement of the Action.

5. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall be paid 90% of the attorneys' fees and 100% of the approved Litigation Expenses immediately upon entry of the Judgment approving the Settlement and this Order. The remaining 10% of the attorneys' fees awarded (and any interest earned thereon) will be paid after Lead Plaintiffs conduct the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to eligible Claimants and file the Post-Distribution Accounting. The fees and costs of the Claims Administrator, Strategic Claims Services, in an amount not to exceed $990,000, will be paid only after Lead Plaintiffs conduct the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to eligible Claimants and file the Post-Distribution Accounting.

6. In making this award of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

a. The Settlement has created a fund of $175,000,000 in cash that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, and that numerous Settlement Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Plaintiffs' Counsel;

b. Plaintiffs' Counsel litigated this case on a purely contingent basis, and have not received any compensation for their work on this matter over the last three years;

c. The fee sought is consistent with the Ninth Circuit's benchmark fee award in percentage fee cases, *see In re Online DVD-Rental Antitrust Litig.*, 779 F.3d 934, 949 (9th Cir. 2015);

d. The requested fee has been again reviewed and approved as reasonable by Lead Plaintiffs, a group of sophisticated institutional investors that actively supervised the Action;

e. Copies of the Postcard Notice were mailed to over 972,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees stating that Lead Counsel would apply for attorneys' fees for Plaintiffs' Counsel in an amount not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund and payment of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed $1.3 million. There were four objections to the requested award of attorneys' fees. The Court has considered each of the objections and found them to be without merit;

f. Lead Counsel conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy;

g. Had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement there would remain a significant risk that Lead Plaintiffs and the other members of the Settlement Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;

h. Plaintiffs' Counsel devoted over 21,000 hours, with a lodestar value of approximately $14.7 million, to achieve the Settlement, and will continue to perform work on behalf of the Settlement Class in overseeing the Claims Administrator's processing of claims received and the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund; and

i. The amount of attorneys' fees awarded and expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases.

7. Lead Plaintiffs Universal-Investment-Gesellschaft mbH, Universal-Investment-Luxembourg S.A., and UI BVK Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH are hereby awarded $20,250 from the Settlement Fund for their reasonable costs and expenses directly related to their representation of the Settlement Class.

8. Lead Plaintiff Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi is hereby awarded $23,287.50 from the Settlement Fund for its reasonable costs and expenses directly related to its representation of the Settlement Class.

9. Lead Plaintiff Arkansas Public Employees' Retirement System is hereby awarded $24,487.50 from the Settlement Fund for its reasonable costs and expenses directly related to its representation of the Settlement Class.

10. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys' fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

11. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Parties and the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.

12. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation.

13. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2026.

_____

The Honorable Rita F. Lin
United States District Judge